

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/994,948	MILOVANOVIC ET AL.
	Examiner Kenneth Tang	Art Unit 2195

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Appeal

(1) Kenneth Tang.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Carlton H. Hoel (Reg. No. 29,934).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 July 2006

**Time:** 2pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

1-5

Claims discussed:

1-5

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 MENG-AL T. AN  
 SUPERVISOR PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to amend the claims according to the Examiner's Amendment. The content of dependent claim 4 was found to contain allowable subject matter. Dependent claim 4 was cancelled and the content was moved to independent claim 1 to make the case allowable. Minor amendments were also made to conform with 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph problems and to overcome minor claim informalities..